1

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

[Proposed] Order 3:22-cv-00117-JD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,

ľΥ,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00117-JD

Plaintiff,

v.

GINA RAIMONDO, in her official capacity as Secretary of Commerce; and NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court, having considered the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment, and for good cause shown, hereby:

GRANTS the Center for Biological Diversity's Motion for Summary Judgment;

DENIES the Federal Defendant's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment;

DECLARES that the National Marine Fisheries Service's December 2021 Marine

Mammal Protection Act Section 101(a)(5)(E), 16 U.S.C. § 1371(a)(5)(E), permit "Taking of

Threatened or Endangered Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations," 86

Fed. Reg. 69,627 (Dec. 8, 2021), for the WA/OR/CA sablefish pot fishery is unlawful. See 5

U.S.C. § 706(2). In issuing the permit, the agency employed an improper negligible impact

analysis by failing to consider the impacts of other commercial fisheries, improperly concluded a

take reduction plan for the fishery is in place or is being developed, and failed to rely on the best

available science;

VACATES and sets aside the Marine Mammal Protection Act Permit;

Case 3:22-cv-00117-JD Document 282-4 Filed 07/21/22 Page 2 of 2

1	DECLARES that the National Marine Fisheries Service's October 26, 2020 "Endangered
2	Species Act (ESA) Section 7(a)(2) Biological and Conference Opinion: Continuing Operation of
3	the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery (Reinitiation of consultation #NWR-2012-876) –
4	Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae)" is unlawful. See 5 U.S.C. § 706(2). The Biological
5	Opinion employs an improper jeopardy analysis, fails to include measures to minimize incidental
6	take, and fails to rely on the best available science;
7	DECLARES that the National Marine Fisheries Service's reliance on the Biological
8	Opinion to authorize and manage the WA/OR/CA sablefish pot fishery, including in issuing the
9	Marine Mammal Protection Act Permit, is unlawful. See 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2). The National
10	Marine Fisheries Service is in violation of its substantive obligation to ensure that its actions do
11	not jeopardize the Central America or Mexico distinct population segments of humpback whales;
12	and
13	VACATES and sets aside the Biological Opinion.
14	
15	
16	
17	DATED:
18	HON. JAMES DONATO
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[Proposed] Order 3:22-cv-00117-JD